

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/787,311	02/27/2004	Nien-Lun Li	BHT-3230-89	4354
75	90 10/04/2004		EXAM	INER
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC			MCKINNON, TERRELL L	
SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBUR	RG PIKE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			3743	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/787,311	LI ET AL.	1000
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terrell L Mckinnon	3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence a	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered tim INTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. : communication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fee 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Ee 	action is non-final.		ne merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in <i>i</i> rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this Nationa	al Stage
Attachment(s)	4) □ Inter :	Summany (DTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/787,311 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 12-14 as written are considered a product by process claim. A single claim, which claims both an apparatus and the method steps of using the apparatus, is indefinite under USC 112, second paragraph. This type of claim is indefinite because it fails to positively recite the boundaries of protection. The metes and bounds of the claim cannot be determined because it is unclear whether protection is sought for the method or for the apparatus.

As best understanding of the examiner, claims 12-14 will be treated on the merits in this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,311

Art Unit: 3743

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuo (U.S. 6,598,667).

Kuo discloses a heat dissipating heat sink comprising all of the applicant's claimed and disclosed limitations of the instant invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2, 7 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuo ((U.S. 6,598,667) in view of Chen et al. (U.S. 2004/0045701).

Kuo's invention discloses all of the claimed limitations from above except for a method for a heatsink with fins; and the material of the heat sink is selected from the group consisting of copper and aluminum.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,311

Art Unit: 3743

8. However, Chen teaches material of the heat sink is selected from the group consisting of copper and aluminum [0020]; and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention based on Kuo and Chen to have a method for a heatsink comprising the steps (a)-(e).

Given the teachings of Chen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the heatsink of Kuo with a heat sink being made of copper and aluminum [0020]; and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention based on the combination of Kuo and Chen to have a method for a heatsink comprising the steps (a)-(e).

Doing so would provide a highly conductive thermally efficient heatsink.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references are cited for disclosing related limitations of the applicant's claimed and disclosed invention. Koltuniak et al., Gabuzda et al, Arnold et al, Hoffmann, Hedge and Checchetti.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrell L Mckinnon whose telephone number is 703-305-0059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday and every other Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,311 Page 5

Art Unit: 3743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Terrell L Mckinnon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743

September 30, 2004